



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,666	10/607,666 06/27/2003		Robert Keane	MPJ-C1	8042	
37420	7590	06/15/2005		EXAMINER		
VISTA PR			GARCIA, GABRIEL I			
100 HAYDEN AVENUE LEXINGTON, MA 02421				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2624		
				DATE MAILED: 06/15/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/607,666	KEANE ET AL.					
· Office Action Gamma	, ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	•	Gabriel I. Garcia	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM  - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this lif the period for reply specified above is less than if NO period for reply is specified above, the maxing Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	MUNICATION.  ovisions of 37 CFR 1.13  is communication.  thirty (30) days, a reply  mum statutory period w  or reply will, by statute,  nonths after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. :ommunication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on	_•	٠					
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
closed in accordance with the	practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in	the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u> </u>							
6)⊠ Claiṁ(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected								
8) Claim(s) are subject to r	restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is object				• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-(a) or (i).					
1. Certified copies of the pr		s have been received.						
		s have been received in Application	on No. 09/557 57	1				
		ity documents have been receive	•					
application from the Inter								
* See the attached detailed Office			d.					
	•							
Attachment(s)								
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev</li> </ol>	riew /PTO. 949\	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔯 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14		5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P		<b>D-152</b> )				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/8/03</u> .	ŕ	6) 🔲 Other:						

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been examined. Claims 1-10 are pending in this application.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims of U. S. Patent No. 6,327,043 since the claims are directed to the same invention, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,666

Art Unit: 2624

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: e.g. Claim 1 of the present application recites a method for managing print jobs, comprising receiving individual print jobs electronically; and aggregating at least some of the individual print jobs, identical features are recited on claim 1 of U. S. Patent No. 6,650,433.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

## Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Salgado et al. (6,433,887) teaches a system and method for managing print jobs.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Gabriel I. Garcia** whose telephone number is (571) 272-7434. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM-6:00 PM. The fax phone number for faxes for this group is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Page 4

Gabriel I. Garcia Primary Examiner June 12, 2005

GABRIEL GARCIA PRIMARY EXAMINER